Comparative Study of Cross and Lateral Fixations on Supracondylar Humerus Fracture among Children
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ABSTRACT

Background: Management of Gartland type III supracondylar humerus fractures is conducted by open and closed repositioning. An adequate reposition and a stable and accurate fixation are desperately needed to prevent fixation failure, deformity, and complication. The study aims to compare the clinical and radiological result between crossed and lateral fixation techniques.

Subjects and Method: The study was a retrospective study toward Gartland type III SCHF children in Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia from 2013–2016. The dependent variable is Supracondylar humerus fracture. Independent variables is type of fixation option, clinical functional test, degrees of satisfactory, and radiology evaluation. The radiology parameter used was Skaggs criteria. An observation was conducted for the occurrence of complication in the form of infection and peripheral nerves injury. All data were analyzed using Kolmogorov Smirnov and Fischer exact test.

Results: The study discovered 28 patients consisted of 20 males and 8 females with age range from 3 – 13 years old with average age in crossed fixation group was 7.6 years and in lateral fixation was 4.7 years. The injury sides were 46.4% right elbow and 53.5% left elbow. Among the crossed fixation group there were 54.5% left elbow and 45.5% right elbow. Among lateral fixation group there were 50% left side and 50% right side. There was no significant different on clinical functions, radiology as well as complication in the form of infection and peripheral nerves injury.

Conclusion: There is no difference of functional clinical, radiology result as well as postsurgery complication in the form of infection and peripheral nerves injury between crossed fixation technique and lateral fixation technique.
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which are difficult to be reduced and risk of reduction failure therefore the management approach is relatively challenging for orthopedists (Fransworth et al., 1998; Lins et al., 1999; Gartland 1959; Lee, 2000).

Fixation with K-Wire following supracondylar fracture reduction toward children was firstly introduced by Casino (1960) it is an effective therapy in maintaining reduction. Since then the fixation with K-Wire post supracondylar fracture reduction has become standard therapy for supracondylar humerus fracture among children. However research by Otsuka and Kasser (1997) finding there are complication related to K-Wire fixation including iatrogenic injury of ulnar nerve and reduction failure, that lead to cubitus varus deformity. Research by Gordon et al (2001) and Kalenderer et al. (2008) finding there are an optimal K-Wire configuration gives adequate fracture stability following the reduction and minimizes the risk of reduction failure.

There are several techniques of K-wire fixation configuration for supracondylar humerus fracture, among others are crossed and lateral techniques. Crossed technique is biomechanically more stable however, the focal point is directed to the risk of iatrogenic injury of ulnar nerve during K-Wire medial insertion (Rasool, 1998; Wang et al., 2012). The lateral technique may avoid the risk of iatrogenic injury of ulnar nerve, however the configuration may be less stable (Kocher et al., 2007). The study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of fixation of both crossed fixation and lateral fixation techniques on supracondylar humerus fractures among children in Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya, Indonesia within 3 years, started from 2013 – 2016.

2. Population and Sample
The study sample was all Gartland type III SCHF that undergone closed reposition procedure and K-Wire fixation with C-Arm guidance. All procedures were performed by on duty orthopedic surgeons in emergency unit at the period of time.

3. Study Variables
The dependent variable is Supracondylar humerus fracture. Independent variables is type of fixation option, clinical functional test, degrees of satisfactory, and radiology evaluation.

4. Operational Definition of Variables
The option of fixation types was determined by on duty seniors at the period of time. Clinical functional test was referred to the passively shifting of conjoint moving space and carrying angle. Degrees of satisfactory was degree shifting of conjoint moving space and carrying angle. The data scale is categorical with excellent = 0-5 degrees, good= 5-10 degrees, fair= 10-15 degrees. Shifting of conjoint moving space and carrying angle than 15 degrees was categorized as poor (Unsatisfactory). Radiology evaluation was determined in the form of plain image of Baumann angle according to Skaggs criteria. The shifting of Baumann angle < 6 degrees was categorized as none, 6-12 degrees was categorized as mild and major if it was more than 12 degrees. Complications in the form of surgical wound infection and peripheral nerves injury were also evaluated.

5. Data Analysis
The study was conducted using study protocol (Figure 1). All data were statistically analyzed by using Kolmogorov Smirnov and Fischer exact test.
The study discovered 28 patients consisted of 20 males and 8 females with the ages ranged from 3 up to 13 years old. The average age in crossed fixation group was 7.6 years and in lateral fixation group was 4.7 years.

The injury sides were right elbow was 46.4 % and left elbow was 53.5 %. In crossed fixation group, left elbow injury was 54.5 % and right elbow injury was 45.5% and in lateral fixation group, left elbow injury was 50% and right elbow injury was 50%.
The time duration between the incidence of injuries and the surgical procedure spanned from about 5 hours up to 30 hours with the average time was 13.4 hours. It is discovered that the duration between the incidence of injuries and the surgical procedure in crossed fixation was about 5 hours up to 30 hours with the average time was 11.9 hours and in lateral fixation group was about 7 hours up to 26 hours with the average time was 18.7 hours.

Table 1. Satisfactory Degrees measured by using Flynn criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfactory Degrees</th>
<th>Fixation Configurations</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crossed Fixation</td>
<td>Lateral Fixation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfactory degree which was measured based on Flynn criteria discovered the satisfactory result on 27 patients and unsatisfactory result on 1 patient. In crossed fixation group it was discovered the satisfactory result on 21 patients and unsatisfactory result on 1 patient, whereas in lateral fixation group it discovered satisfactory result on all 6 patients.

Table 2. Flynn criteria of each treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>Flynn Grading</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossed Fixation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Fixation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of data analysis of the study for the result differences of Flynn’s satisfactory between crossed and lateral fixations by using Fisher Exact Test discover the value of p was
0.786 hence, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between the two treatment groups concerning the resulted clinical functions. For the differences of clinical functional result based on Flynn criteria which are divided into excellent, good, fair, and poor, an analysis was conducted by using Two-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test and it discovered the value of $p$ was 1.000 which indicated that there was no significant different between the two treatment groups.

### Table 3. Skaggs radiological criteria of each treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>Skaggs Criteria</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crossed Fixation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Fixation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In radiological evaluation measurement based on Skaggs criteria which was divided into none, mild, and major, an analysis by using Two-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test was conducted and obtained the value of $p$ was 0.993. It indicated that there was no significant different between the two treatment groups. There was no ulnar nerve injury nor post-surgical infections found in all patients both from crossed fixation and lateral fixation groups.

### DISCUSSION

The study discovered male patients were 71.4% higher in number than female patients which were only 28.6%. The ratio between male vs. female was 2.5:1. The condition is in line with the previous epidemiology study that there were more male patients of supracondylar humerus fractures than female patients with the ratio 2:1.16. It was probably because male had a lot more activities and often conducted activities outside compared with female.

The injuries occurred more on left elbows, whereas all patients in the study were right handed, the injury sides were dominated by non-dominant side (Kasser, 1992). Meanwhile Balakumar and Madhuri (2012) reported 1.1% ulnar nerve iatrogenic injuries, 2.2% median nerve injuries and 1.1% radial nerve injuries on both crossed and lateral fixation techniques however, we did not discover peripheral nerve injuries in this study. Awareness and surgeons’ adequate skills factors in the surgical process are the crucial factors in avoiding ulnar nerve iatrogenic injury.

There was no significant difference of patients’ clinical function result which was measured by using Flynn criteria between crossed and lateral fixation technique groups. Configurations, fixation insertion techniques, the size of K-Wire for fixation, post-surgical rehabilitation, patients’ compliance were factors that supported the accomplishment of therapy. Patients’ compliance means all patients visits orthopedic polyclinic after the surgery, perform programs from the polyclinics, and obtain adequate rehabilitation therapy.

The study discovered the result of 41% excellent, 50% good, 5% fair and 5% poor in crossed fixation technique group, meanwhile it discovered the result of 33% excellent, 50% good, 17% fair and 5% poor in lateral fixation technique group, based on Flynn criteria. It discovered in crossed fixation technique group that the satisfactory result was 92.5%, and the unsatisfactory result was 3.5%, yet in lateral fixation technique group the satisfactory result was 100%. Some previous studies
discover between 87.5% up to 100% of satisfactory result (Davis et al., 2000). This study almost obtained data which are approaching the previous studies.

Based on the radiological evaluation measurement by using Skagg criteria toward crossed fixation and lateral fixation it discovered that there was no significant difference between the two groups (p=0.993). All patients underwent surgery by using the assistance of fluoroscopy (C-Arm) during the surgery procedure, hence it obtained optimal result of the surgery in term of radiology.

The weakness of the study was insufficient and imbalanced sample between the two therapy groups. It probably would be better if there are more number of sample and the quantity is comparable between the two groups. There is no difference of radiology and clinical functions between the crossed and the lateral fixation reduction technique. The study does not discover the surgical risk in the form of ulnar nerve iatrogenic injury and post-surgical infection.
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